



**Enoch Hill Wind Farm
Community Liaison Group (CLG) Meeting
Meeting #6**

Time: 18:00 – 20:00

Date: 12th February 25

Location: New Cumnock Bowling Club, New Cumnock

Attendees

Name (Initials)	Role	Company / Representation
Dean Guy (DG)	Project Manager	RWE Renewables UK
Graeme Fyall (GDF)	Resident Engineer	RWE Renewables UK
Neil Watts (NW)	Councillor	East Ayrshire Council
Gette Fulton (GF)	CLG Representative	Resident / NCCC member
Andy Gillies (AG)	CLG Representative	B741 resident
Caroline Gillies (CG)	CLG Representative	B741 resident
Lady Jane Ross (LJR)	CLG Representative	Dalleagles resident

Apologies:

Jerry Mulders	CLG Representative	Local resident
Cllr Jim McMahon (JM)	Councillor	East Ayrshire Council
Naomi Bowen	Assistant Project Manager	RWE Renewables UK

1.	INTRODUCTIONS/APOLOGIES	
1.1	DG welcomed all to the meeting and introductions and apologies were made.	
2.	PREVIOUS MINUTES AND MEETING ACTIONS	
6.2.1	DG outlined that someone caused disruption during the turbine component delivery phase of the project. On another occasion nails were scattered on the road and screws were drilled into the road causing a real danger to all road users. Recently, vandalism was caused at the site entrance carpark to both an RWE vehicle and others on a couple of occasions – tyres drilled and windows broken. RWE are reaching out to the local residents to stop this behaviour	
6.2.2	LJR pointed out that goods vehicles exceeding 9.5 tonnes in Scotland have a 40mph speed limit on single carriageway roads.	
6.2.3	GF stated that in her view a lot of construction traffic does slow down driving through Burnside.	
6.2.4	AG said that it would have been better to have put a speed limit in place prior to construction works starting. NW commented that plans can evolve and these issues can be re-examined during construction projects.	
6.2.5	Discussion on repairs to any damage caused to the B741 public road: A Pre-condition survey was carried out, subsequent condition surveys have been carried out monthly and occasionally attended by ARA.	

		A post-turbine component delivery survey was carried out (28 th Jan) once the final large components had been delivered and the heavy crane had left the site.
3.	PROJECT UPDATE	
6.3.1	12/02/25 - Project update:	<p>DG gave a brief over of the project status and update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All 15 Turbines now fully installed with Pre-commissioning works now taking place until end March, then turbine preservation (limited site activity) until Grid Connection (July 2025). • Commissioning Works will commence once wind farm is connected to grid. • Main Installation Crane demobilised from site on 18th January – no more abnormal load vehicles to site. • Main Control Building works complete, snagging works on-going. • Reinstatement of Blade Fingers (adjacent to turbine hardstands) has commenced and is progressing well. <p>Project is now considering re-instating construction compound up on site and moving back to the site entrance car park (similar to when construction started in June 2023).</p>
6.3.2		GF enquired if a site visit could be arranged. DG to investigate this – perhaps a Saturday morning may be better? Or a Tuesday evening in Spring (May)/ Summer?
6.3.3		LJR made a general point that she felt that there has been an increased amount of litter/ fly tipping along the B741. RWE pointed out that this could be due to members of the public or many other construction sites, but Enoch Hill WF is continually reminding contractors not to litter the public roads.
6.3.4		LJR noted that she felt that diesel delivery wagons (i.e. Johnstones) were using the U720 public road. RWE stated that deliveries to Enoch Hill should not be using that road and this would be relayed again to contractors. Any Registration Numbers and times can be reported to RWE to investigate. LJR asked how many diesel delivery trucks do Enoch Hill get per week and how many are expected in future during the wind farm maintenance period.
6.3.5		DG said that he would look into inviting the RWE Operations Wind Farm Site Manager (Jordon Roney) to future meetings. Also, DG would see if he could get a representative from Nordex (the Turbine supply company) to attend the CLG meeting in 2 months time.
4.	PLANNING COMPLIANCE AND COMPLAINTS	
4.4.5		<p>CLG members enquired which studies are carried out before planning is submitted for a typical wind farm development site. ACTION - NB to source list of studies as per planning application and share with CLG.</p> <p>28/11/24 - OUTSTANDING. Enoch Hill 2 as example – link to all planning documentation sent by e-mail today.</p> <p>List of studies carried out as an example (not limited to, all can be found on planning portal):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outline Habitat Management Plan • Environmental Impact Assessment (huge 500+pg doc that covers all environmental impact considerations, studies, reports etc). For example: wildlife, noise, visual impact, shadow flicker, aviation, etc. • Peat Protection Measures • Peat Management Plan • Peat Landslide Risk Assessment. <p>LJR believes there should have been a baseline noise assessment. ACTION - DG to enquire whether a base line noise assessment was carried out for EH2.</p> <p>[Post meeting note from NB: [The project is still in development phase and if a base line noise survey is required under planning it will be carried out.</p>

		<p>However please note that EH2 is only 2 turbines and is located next to the larger Pencloe development.]</p> <p>12.02.25 - Not applicable - if a resident thinks that noise limits have been breached then they should go to the Local Authority with this complaint. CLOSED.</p>
	5.4.1	<p>Turbine visibility - AxT requested if wire frames showing turbine visibility could be more accurate. AnT commented that you can see far more from their house than was shown at planning stage and this was de-valuing their property (which they believe to be 25%).</p> <p>DG explained that these would have been done from set vantage points and not at individual properties. DG noted the property valuation comments but couldn't offer any further comment at this time.</p> <p>12.02.25 - DG confirmed that nothing more could be done regarding this comment at this stage in the project.</p>
	5.4.2	<p>Aviation lights on turbines- Residents stated that they can see what they believe to be Aviation Lights on the turbines at Enoch Hill. DG advised that the aviation lights on the turbines at Enoch Hill are infra-red so would not be visible to the naked eye. ACTION - DG to check aviation light status and revert.</p> <p>[Post meeting note: GF has checked this with Nordex and the Aviation Lights on EH are infra-red. There is a possibility that residents saw the red lights fitted to the boom of the crane, otherwise it could have been from South Kyle wind farm.]</p> <p>12.02.25 - GDF confirmed that the Aviation Lights on EH are infra-red so not visible to the human eye. CLOSED.</p>
5.	AOB	
	5.5.1	<p>LJR commented that with more turbines being erected in the area, she believed this has resulted in a loss of TV signal, mobile phone signal and satellite tv signal in Dalleagles.</p> <p>DG stated that there was a pre-construction survey of the TV signal in the area which concluded it was unlikely that TV reception in the area would be impacted by the wind farm. The planning condition states that if a complaint was received regarding TV signal, then RWE would have to investigate and perform another survey. DG was unsure if the mobile phone signal was surveyed but will check and revert in response to complaint. DG commented that he doesn't agree that local satellite tv signal can be effected by wind turbines due to the TC satellite being in the sky and that the turbines were not in line of sight of any property.</p> <p>LJR asked if the loss of her TV signal can be logged as an official Complaint. RWE stated that when the wind farm is operational this can be more fully investigated. ACTION - DG to check the TV signal survey conclusion and if mobile phone signal was included and provide formal response to complaint logged by LJR.</p> <p>12.02.25 - LJR confirmed that her satellite dish is only working as an aerial.</p>
	6.5.1	<p>Jerry Mulders – complaint that flood lights were blinding drivers – it was thought that this referred to the tower lights in the bottom car park which have already been moved.</p>
	6.5.2	<p>From Mark Craig – complaint that mud was engrained in the road – perhaps not just the Enoch Hill project, but perhaps the SPEN grid connection works to New Cumnock Sub-station. Regular surfacing road brush being employed by Enoch Hill.</p>
	6.5.3	<p>LJR – diesel delivery trucks – refer to 6.3.4</p>
6.	DATE OF NEXT MEETING	

RWE

	6.6.1	Tuesday 8 th April (to be confirmed).
--	-------	--



ACTION LOG